



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
700 Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,709	03/13/2002	Peter M. Alfred	7678.614	5008

22913 7590 11/05/2003

WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER &
SEELEY)

60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

MCCLENDON, SANZA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1731

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/098,709

Applicant(s)

ALLRED ET AL.

Examiner

Sanza L McClendon

Art Unit

1711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sanza L McClendon.

(3) _____

(2) John Guynn.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 October 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: all in the office action.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Guynn presented a new claim 1 which better describes the latent dual cure and mechanical interactions of the dental composition. The claim appears to distinguish the invention over the prior art. Further consideration will be given once the official amendment is presented to the Office. The references used in the rejection, such as Mitra et al fails to teach a latent dual cure, Mitra really is only concerned with photosensitive curing, wherein chemical curing is an alternative. Fisvher is not concerned with deformable layers. Ario et al has two different layer that comprise different modes of curing, that is one layer is completely cured using irradiation while the other is completely cures using a chemical initiator. In addition, Ario is not concerned with any layer having a deformable characteristics.